Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701
2/20/76

Mr. Michael J. Levy
1418 21 St., NW
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Mickey,

I'm sorry we have not been able to get together. Travel is not easy for me now but on each of my last two trips to DC Jim Lesar either spoke to you are tried. I presume he didn't get you by the night of 2/17 because you did not meet me where I said I'd be early the next morning.

I don't know when I'll be there again but Jim will.

There really is nothing I can do about the fostered mythologies. Sometimes they get annoying enough for me to react but mostly I ignore them. As I remember it you phoned when I was off on a rather intensive trip for one with my current medical problems. My wife relayed the message and I not only took it as of serious purpose but appreciate it. That was mid-December. While with reminding from my wife and Jim I still have no clear recollection of your earlier call, I have no doubt that you did and I blew up over something.

As of now, with all I'm into and limitations of the time I can work, my spectro/NAA interest is in a) bringing out what is still suppressed and b) perfecting the court record. On the second, including to save the law.

My own record on this is persistently misrepresented. I always offered all I got to anyone. I went public with some of what I got as soon as I got it. I went public with what is called "all" 4/25/75 at a New York City press conference. Some there made copies. Almost anybody can have copies now, as at any time earlier once I had the government firmly committed, in writing.

It is a common practise to write requests behind me, letting Jim and me bear all the cost and the great burden and picking up chips for the cost of xeroxing and then to make great claims and boasts in self-promotion. If I don't really care that much about others reusing my condoms, so to speak, I do wish they had concerns about whether or not they have holes. There are very few people who have a really good command of the material and of these judgement is not always good, especially political judgement (not in the party sense). These and those who pretend an expertise they do not have regularly louse things up. Mu dislikemof this is neither personal nor selfish as in time, if you stay with this, you'll learn.

So, if you have reason to believe ~~that~~ if when Lane started his efforts to make more self-promotions out of this and when despite all his big talk he didn't even file either of the original suits -or any - he got anything I didn't, it would be good for Jim to know for use in court. The possibility exists that you do know, what I think my wife took from your call. If not and if you don't want me to go over copies of what you have, you are perfectly welcome to go over what I have. If I have what you do not you are welcome to copies. I also have a considerable selection from scientific literature. You are welcome to make copies here for the actual cost to me if you'll do the copying. I am not supposed to stand still very long. If you want to carry the effort with the literature forward (if you have not done it on your own) it can be helpful to us in court. I have no writing plans of any kind on this. Your Gallagher interview can be very important. I do think it would be worth the time to make as complete a written record of it as you now can. Of the raw material, I think I know pretty well what was withheld because I know what has to have been done and was not given to me. I also know beyond questions what was done and is denied. This is separate from what should have been but I can't prove was. Sorry about the typos. Thanks and best, Harold Weisberg